1 PAUL BASHKIN P.O. Box 881946 2 San Diego, California 92168 (619) 230-1133 3 4 Plaintiff, in pro per 5 6 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 9 10 CV 1450WOH RBB 11 PAUL BASHKIN, 12 Plaintiff, **COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES:** 13 (1) v. **DEPRIVATION OF RIGHTS** [42 U.S.C. § 1983]; and 14 SAN DIEGO COUNTY; HOWARD KLUGE, individually and in his official **(2) CONSPIRACY TO INTERFERE** 15 capacity; BRET GARRETT, individually WITH CIVIL RIGHTS and in his official capacity; and DOES 1 [42 U.S.C. § 1985] 16 through 100, inclusive. 17 Defendants. [DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL] 18 19

Plaintiff, PAUL BASHKIN, alleges as follows:

JURISDICTIONAL BASIS FOR COMPLAINT

1. Plaintiff brings this suit pursuant to 42 U.S.C. §§ 1983 and 1985 for violations of certain protections guaranteed him by the Fourth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution, committed by each of the defendants acting under color of California law. Defendants HOWARD KLUGE and BRET GARRETT are sheriff's deputies who are being sued in both their personal and official capacities.

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

12 13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

23

22

24

25

26

27 28

INTRODUCTORY ALLEGATIONS

Plaintiff PAUL BASHKIN ("PLAINTIFF") is, and at all times herein mentioned was, 2. a resident of the State of California, residing in San Diego County.

- 3. PLAINTIFF is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that at all times mentioned herein, Defendants HOWARD KLUGE ("KLUGE") and BRET GARRETT ("GARRETT") were residents of, and/or doing business in, San Diego County.
- PLAINTIFF is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that at all times mentioned 4. herein, Defendant SAN DIEGO COUNTY was doing business in San Diego County.
- PLAINTIFF is unaware of the true names and identities of the defendants sued herein 5. as DOES 1 through 100, inclusive, and therefore sues said defendants under such fictitious names and will seek leave of the Court to amend this Complaint to show their true names when the same have been ascertained. DOES 1 through 10 were non-tribal members employed by Barona Resort & Casino ("Barona") who conspired with defendants KLUGE and GARRETT to deprive PLAINTIFF of his civil rights, as set forth hereinbelow.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

DEPRIVATION OF RIGHTS [42 U.S.C. § 1983]

(As To All Defendants)

- PLAINTIFF realleges and incorporates by reference, paragraphs 1 through 5, 6. inclusive, as though fully set forth herein.
- 7. On August 8, 2006, Deputies KLUGE and GARRETT, responded to a California Welfare & Institutions Code § 5150 ("§ 5150") call from Barona management with regard to PLAINTIFF.
- After questioning PLAINTIFF, Deputy KLUGE decided not to have PLAINTIFF 8. involuntarily committed on a § 5150 hold. PLAINTIFF was not arrested and was informed that he was free to go. All violations hereinafter alleged occurred subsequent to these decisions.

- 9. PLAINTIFF then informed Barona that although he did not intend to gamble there anymore, he had decided not to 'self-ban' from the casino in order to preserve the substantial player's club benefits he had already earned (e.g., free daily buffets, bonus cash, etc.). During subsequent negotiations between PLAINTIFF and Barona, Deputy KLUGE interfered with PLAINTIFF's attempts to protect and preserve his contractual player's club rights. Without provocation, Deputy KLUGE began verbally harassing PLAINTIFF and shouting at him, informing PLAINTIFF, among others, that he had "no rights" and that Barona could do whatever it wanted to him.
- 10. Deputy KLUGE then forced PLAINTIFF from the Barona interrogation room to an adjacent parking lot where the deputy's car was parked by pinning PLAINTIFF's wrists behind his back, which was excruciatingly painful and left bruises. En route, PLAINTIFF asked Deputy KLUGE to let go of his wrists because he was hurting him. Deputy KLUGE refused, telling PLAINTIFF to "shut up."
- 11. When they arrived at Deputy KLUGE's squad car, PLAINTIFF advised the deputy that he had a claim check to retrieve his backpack in his right front pocket. Deputy KLUGE would not let PLAINTIFF get it. Instead, Deputy KLUGE handcuffed PLAINTIFF, which was also very painful and done over his protestations, while Deputy KLUGE searched each of PLAINTIFF's pockets without his consent.
- 12. When Deputy KLUGE found PLAINTIFF's wallet in his back pocket, Deputy KLUGE began rummaging through it, commenting on its contents. Eventually, Deputy KLUGE pulled the claim check out of PLAINTIFF's right front pocket and ordered PLAINTIFF to walk around to the casino entrance where the buses departed, informing PLAINTIFF that his backpack would be waiting for him when he got there.
- 13. Deputy KLUGE then removed the handcuffs while threatening to charge PLAINTIFF with criminal trespassing if PLAINTIFF did not leave on the next bus. Scared to death, PLAINTIFF did exactly as he was told.
- 14. Deputy GARRETT was present during this ordeal, yet did not attempt to stop Deputy KLUGE from committing the acts complained about herein, despite having the knowledge, opportunity and duty to do so.

7

11

9

14

15 16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25

26 27

28

- 15. Deputies KLUGE and GARRETT failed to: (a) document this incident; (b) report it to their superiors; or (c) file any incident report with the SAN DIEGO COUNTY Sheriff's Department, in order to conceal their misconduct.
- Deputy KLUGE's actions and Deputy GARRETT's inaction, violated PLAINTIFF's 16. constitutional rights. They violated PLAINTIFF's 4th Amendment rights by, among others: (a) subjecting PLAINTIFF to an unlawful and unreasonable search and seizure without probable cause; and (b) using excessive force and unlawful restraint against PLAINTIFF absent probable cause. In fact, once Deputy KLUGE determined not to have PLAINTIFF committed on a § 5150 hold, Deputy KLUGE had no right to search or seize PLAINTIFF's person or property and no right to use any force or restraint against PLAINTIFF.
- 17. Deputies KLUGE and GARRETT also violated PLAINTIFF's 14th Amendment rights by depriving PLAINTIFF of liberty without due process when Deputy KLUGE unlawfully restrained PLAINTIFF. In fact, once Deputy KLUGE determined not to have PLAINTIFF committed on a § 5150 hold, Deputy KLUGE had no right to detain PLAINTIFF, much less falsely arrest, kidnap or imprison him, which is what the deputy effectively did.
- 18. Defendant SAN DIEGO COUNTY either maintained a policy that allowed its sheriff's deputies, including Deputies KLUGE and GARRETT, to engage in the lawlessness set forth above, or acted recklessly, intentionally or with gross negligence in failing to adequately train its sheriff's deputies, including KLUGE and GARRETT, with regard to the aforementioned unlawful acts.
- 19. The Internal Affairs Unit of the SAN DIEGO COUNTY Sheriff's Department conducted an internal investigation and determined that "no violations of Sheriff's Department policies and procedures were found." Thus, it is the policy, custom or practice of the SAN DIEGO COUNTY Sheriff's Department to act with deliberate indifference toward the 4th and 14th Amendment rights of United States citizens and, more specifically, individuals investigated on a § 5150 charge, by: (a) allowing its deputies to unreasonably search and seize them, use excessive force against them and deprive them of their liberty without due process; and (b) not requiring its deputies to document or file incident reports concerning § 5150 investigations or detentions.

25

26

27

28

	20.	Had	the	SAN	DIEGO	COUNTY	Sheriff's	Department	instituted	affirmative
proced	dures des	signed	to a	dequat	ely train i	ts deputies v	vith regard	to the 4th and	14 th Ameno	lment rights
of ind	ividuals	being	inve	estigate	ed pursua	int to § 5150), PLAINT	TFF's aforesa	id injuries	would have
been l	essened	or avo	oided	l .						

- 21. As a direct and proximate result of the conduct of the defendants, and each of them, PLAINTIFF was hurt and injured in health, strength and activity, sustaining injuries to his body and shock and injuries to his nervous system and person, all of which said injuries caused PLAINTIFF mental, physical, and nervous pain and suffering.
- As a further direct and proximate result of the conduct of the defendants, and each of 22. them, PLAINTIFF suffered the loss of his freedom.
- 23. As a further direct and proximate result of the conduct of the defendants, and each of them, PLAINTIFF suffered the loss of more than \$15,000 in Barona Player's Club benefits to which he was entitled.
- 24. The conduct of defendants was purposely oppressive and was done knowingly, willfully and with malicious intent, entitling PLAINTIFF to an award of punitive and exemplary damages.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

CONSPIRACY TO INTERFERE WITH CIVIL RIGHTS [42 U.S.C. § 1985]

(As To Defendants KLUGE, GARRETT & DOES 1-10)

- PLAINTIFF realleges and incorporates by reference, paragraphs 1 through 24, 25. inclusive, as though fully set forth herein.
- 26. Defendants KLUGE and GARRETT conspired with each other and with certain Barona employees for the purpose of impeding, hindering, obstructing, or defeating PLAINTIFF's due course of justice, with the intent to subvert PLAINTIFF's 4th and 14th Amendment rights and/or to injure PLAINTIFF for lawfully attempting to enforce those constitutional rights.

8

10 11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25

26 27

28

After Deputy KLUGE determined not to have PLAINTIFF committed on a § 5150 27. hold, PLAINTIFF informed Barona employees that while he did not intend to gamble there anymore, he decided not to voluntarily ban himself from the casino in order to preserve the substantial Barona Player's Club benefits he had already earned (e.g., free daily buffets, bonus cash, etc.). Those benefits were worth more than \$15,000.

Filed 08/08/2008

- 28. Barona did not want to uphold its part of the contract it had entered into with PLAINTIFF - which required it to provide PLAINTIFF with those player's club benefits he had already earned - if PLAINTIFF was not going to continue gambling there. Certain Barona employees then informed Deputies KLUGE and GARRETT that they were going to involuntarily ban PLAINTIFF after he decided not to voluntarily ban himself, under the pretext of PLAINTIFF's alleged "misconduct," in order to cheat PLAINTIFF out of the benefits he had already bargained for and earned. Those Barona employees then entered into an agreement or understanding with Deputy KLUGE to have him terrorize PLAINTIFF and forcibly remove PLAINTIFF from the premises in order to coerce PLAINTIFF to give up his player's club rights and to make it appear as if he had engaged in such unidentified misconduct in order to warrant his removal from the casino. To that end, Deputies KLUGE and GARRETT did Barona's bidding, as set forth herein.
- 29. Barona made audio and videotapes of this incident which corroborated all of PLAINTIFF's allegations and established that: (a) PLAINTIFF did not engage in any misconduct; (b) certain Barona employees and defendants KLUGE and GARRETT sought to cheat PLAINTIFF out of the aforementioned contractual benefits to which he was entitled and had already earned; and (c) defendants KLUGE and GARRETT violated PLAINTIFF's 4th and 14th amendment rights, as hereinabove set forth, in furtherance of their conspiracy with Barona.
- 30. Because of the incriminating and incendiary nature of the audio and videotapes, defendants KLUGE and GARRETT, through their agreement(s) with Barona, conspired to obstruct justice and have those tapes destroyed shortly after the incident. Defendants KLUGE and GARRETT also conspired with each other to obstruct justice by not: (a) documenting the incident, (b) not reporting the incident to their superiors; and (c) not filing an incident report with the SAN DIEGO COUNTY Sheriff's Department. All of these actions were done to conceal defendants' liability:

- 31. As a direct and proximate result of the conduct of the defendants, and each of them, PLAINTIFF was hurt and injured in health, strength and activity, sustaining injuries to his body and shock and injuries to his nervous system and person, all of which said injuries caused PLAINTIFF mental, physical, and nervous pain and suffering.
- As a further direct and proximate result of the conduct of the defendants, and each of 32. them, PLAINTIFF suffered the loss of his freedom.
- 33. As a further direct and proximate result of the conduct of the defendants, and each of them, PLAINTIFF suffered the loss of more than \$15,000 in Barona Player's Club benefits to which he was entitled.
- The conduct of defendants was purposely oppressive and was done knowingly, 34. willfully and with malicious intent, entitling PLAINTIFF to an award of punitive and exemplary damages.

WHEREFORE, PLAINTIFF prays for judgment against defendants, and each of them, as follows:

- 1. For general damages in an amount according to proof at trial;
- 2. For special damages in an amount according to proof at trial;
- 3. For punitive damages in an amount according to proof at trial;
- For reasonable attorney's fees, costs and interest as authorized by law; and 4.
- For such other and further relief as the court deems just and proper. 5.

DATED: August 8, 2008

PAUL BASHKIN

	the information contained to m neither replace nor sim, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United			quired by law, except as provided
the civil docket sheet. (SEE IN	STRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.) BASHKIN, PRUL		SAN PIEGOTOREN	<u> </u>
		0065 1-10	OWNAU, BAKABA	refer.
•	of First Listed Plaintiff San Diego	County of Residence of	of First Listed Defendant - 8	June 10
	(CET) IN U.S. FLAIRTIFF CASES)		(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES D CONDEND TO THE CASES INVOLVED.	THE DESCRIPTION OF THE
(c) Attorney's (Firm Name,	Address, and Telephone Number)	Attorneys (If Known)	6.7 .	QE
Pro S	ė	Centra	NR CV 1450	WOH RBB
II. BASIS OF JURISD	ICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)	III. CITIZENSHIP OF P	RINCIPAL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff
U.S. Government Plaintiff	3. Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)		TF DEF 1 Incorporated w Pr of Business In Thi	
D 2 U.S. Government Defendant	4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)	Citizen of Another State	2 0 2 Incorporated and I of Business In	
		Citizen or Subject of a G	3 Foreign Nation	0606
IV. NATURE OF SUIT	(Place an "X" in One Box Only) TORTS	FORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES
110 Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY PERSONAL INJURY		☐ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158	400 State Reapportionment
D 120 Marine D 130 Miller Act	O 310 Airplane O 362 Personal Injury - Med. Malpractice		28 USC 157	410 Antitrust 430 Banks and Banking
140 Negotiable Instrument 150 Recovery of Overpayment	Liability 365 Personal Injury -		PROPERTY RIGHTS	450 Commerce 460 Deportation
& Enforcement of Judgment	Slander 368 Asbestos Persona		820 Copyrights	400 Department
3 ISI Medicare Act	330 Federal Employers' Injury Product	☐ 650 Airline Regs.	☐ 830 Patent	Corrupt Organizations
J 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans	Liability Liability 340 Marine PERSONAL PROPER	5 660 Occupational	☐ 840 Trademark	480 Consumer Credit 490 Cable/Sut TV
(Excl. Veterans)	345 Marine Product 370 Other Fraud	TY Safety/Health G 690 Other		810 Selective Service
J 153 Recovery of Overpayment	Liability 371 Truth in Lending	LABOR	SOCIAL SECURITY	850 Securities/Commodities/
of Veteran's Benefits J 160 Stockholders' Suits	350 Motor Vehicle 380 Other Personal Property Damage	☐ 710 Fair Labor Standards	3 861 HIA (139511)	Exchange 875 Customer Challenge
J 190 Other Contract	☐ 355 Motor Vehicle Property Damage Product Liability ☐ 385 Property Damage		862 Black Lung (923) 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g))	12 USC 3410
3 195 Contract Product Liability	☐ 360 Other Personal Product Liability	☐ 730 Labor/Mgmt.Reporting	☐ 864 SSID Title XVI	☐ 890 Other Statutory Actions
J 196 Franchise	Injury	& Disclosure Act	☐ 865 RSI (405(g))	891 Agricultural Acts
REAL PROPERTY 210 Land Condemnation	CIVIL RIGHTS PRISONER PETITION 441 Voting 510 Motions to Vacat		FEDERAL TAX SUITS 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff	892 Economic Stabilization Act 893 Environmental Matters
3 220 Foreclosure	☐ 441 Voting ☐ 510 Motions to Vacat ☐ 442 Employment ☐ Sentence	te 790 Other Labor Litigation 791 Empl. Ret. Inc.	or Defendant)	894 Energy Allocation Act
230 Rent Lease & Ejectment	☐ 443 Housing/ Habeas Corpus:	Security Act	☐ 871 IRS—Third Party	3 895 Freedom of Information
240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability	Accommodations		26 USC 7609	Act
J 290 All Other Real Property	444 Welfare 535 Death Penalty 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - 536 Mandamus & Otl	her		900 Appeal of Fee Determination Under Equal Access
	Employment			to Justice
	446 Amer. w/Disabilities - 555 Prison Condition			950 Constitutionality of
	Other 440 Other Civil Rights			State Statutes
	an "X" in One Box Only)	J 4 Parameter D 5 Trans	sferred from 6 Multidian	Appeal to District Judge from
- Oliginai n	tate Court Appellate Court	Renpened (spec	ify) Litigation	rict Magistrate
	Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you a	arc filing (Do not cite jurisdiction	ial statutes unless diversity):	
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION	ON Brief description of cause: 9 1/2 /	<u>Sections 1983, 198</u> 14 + L. Amendian fi V.	colations	
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER F.R.C. P. 23	N DEMANDS Accord	Par Tial JURY DEMAND	y if demanded in complaint: :
VIII. RELATED CAS IF ANY	E(S) (See instructions): JUDGE		DOCKET NUMBER	
DATE \$/8/08	SIGNATURE OF A	TTORNEY OF RECORD		
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY				
RECEIPT #	AMOUNT APPLYING IFP	JUDGE	MAG. JU	'DGE



AMOUNT

MAG. JUDGE